



THE UNIVERSITY OF THE WEST INDIES
SCHOOL FOR GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH
CAVE HILL CAMPUS

GUIDELINES FOR THE REPORT OF EXAMINERS
M.PHIL AND PH.D. THESES

1. **OVERALL ASSESSMENT**

(a) One of the following categories is usually applicable:

- (i) Thesis is unsatisfactory - Fail
- (ii) Thesis is unsatisfactory - To be revised and resubmitted for re-examination
- (iii) Thesis is satisfactory - Minor corrections are required
- (iv) Thesis is satisfactory - No corrections are required
- (v) Thesis requires extensive revision but not re-submission for re-examination

(b) In making a recommendation please note the following:

- (i) For all Ph.D. candidates, oral examinations are compulsory;
- (ii) For M.Phil candidates, Examiners may wish to examine a candidate's knowledge further, through an oral examination;
- (iii) For M.Phil candidates, the Examiner may recommend that
 - (a) The candidate may be required to resubmit the thesis with revision for the M.Phil degree, or
 - (b) that the M.Phil be awarded without further revision of the work
- (iv) For M.Phil and Ph.D. theses, Examiners may recommend the award of such degrees with "High Commendation";
- (v) For M.Phil theses of exceptional standard and potential for further work, Examiners may recommend the transfer of the candidates' registration to the Ph.D. degree.

2. **OBJECTIVES**

- (I) Are the objectives clearly stated? Is the scope of the research appropriate for the M.Phil and Ph.D. degree?

3. **METHODOLOGY**

- (I) Is the research design satisfactory? Are the research methods sound?

4. **ORIGINALITY AND ACHIEVEMENT**

- (I) Have the objectives been achieved? Does the thesis make an original contribution to the discipline?

5. **INTERPRETATION**

- (I) Are the data properly shown/illustrated, analyzed and interpreted?

6. **PRESENTATION**

- (I) Is the thesis written in a clear, effective and approved manner?

7. **COMMENTS**

- (i) Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses and indicate any changes which are required or suggested.